

Arbitrary reference: a probabilistic account

藤川直也 (Naoya Fujikawa)

首都大学東京

It is a common practice in mathematical, philosophical, or even everyday reasoning to introduce a name for an object arbitrarily taken from the objects satisfying a certain condition. Thus, let n be an arbitrary natural number; let w be any world that @ accesses; there is a man who is taller than me, and let John be such a man; and so on. Even though *arbitrary names* like ‘ n ’, ‘ w ’, or ‘John’ in these examples seem to syntactically behave as singular terms, their semantics is not so straightforward (cf. Fine 1984; Breckenridge and Magidor 2012; Boccuni 2013; Woods 2014). ‘ n ’ arbitrarily refers to a natural number; ‘ w ’ arbitrarily refers to a world that @ accesses; and ‘John’ arbitrarily refers to a man who is taller than me. But what does ‘arbitrarily refer’ exactly mean? This paper provides a probabilistic account of arbitrary reference. The basic idea is to understand arbitrary reference of the form that ‘ a ’ arbitrarily refers to some object taken from a set A as follows: the probability that ‘ a ’ refers to a specific object d is $1/|A|$, if d is in A ; and 0, otherwise. I show how to assign a probability to (the truth/falsity of) a sentence containing one or more arbitrary names based on the probabilities of their reference. Finally, I explain how this probabilistic account justifies Universal Generalization and Existential Instantiation, where arbitrary names play a crucial role.

References

- Breckenridge, W., & Magidor, O. (2012). Arbitrary reference. *Philosophical Studies*, 158(3), 377-400.
- Boccuni, F. (2013). Plural Logicism, *Erkenntnis*, 78(5), 1051-1067.
- Fine, K. (1984). *Reasoning with Arbitrary Objects*, Oxford: Blackwell.
- Woods, J. (2014). Logical Indefinites, *Logique et Analyse*, 227, 277-307.